



**LUND**  
UNIVERSITY

# The Assignment Game with Externalities

## Jens Gudmundsson and Helga Habis, Lund University

*In applications, firms naturally care about which workers are hired by their rivals. We examine the assignment game where profits depend on the matching of the agents.*

### The Assignment Game without Externalities

There is a set of firms,  $F$ , and one of workers,  $W$ . Every firm  $i$  and worker  $j$  jointly create a monetary profit  $\alpha_{ij} \geq 0$ . A firm hires at most one worker, and no two hire the same.

An **outcome** is a matching  $\mu$  and payoffs  $u$  for the firms and  $v$  for the workers such that

$$\sum_{i \in F} u_i + \sum_{j \in W} v_j = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} \alpha_{ij}.$$

An outcome is **stable** if it is individually rational and has no blocking pairs:

$$u_i \geq 0, \quad v_j \geq 0, \quad u_i + v_j \geq \alpha_{ij}.$$

A matching  $\mu$  is **optimal** if

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} \alpha_{ij} \geq \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu'} \alpha_{ij}$$

for all matchings  $\mu'$ .

### Known Results (Shapley and Shubik, 1971)

For all assignment games without externalities:

- ✓ There exists a stable outcome
- ✓ The stable outcome is optimal
- ✓ The set of stable outcomes coincides with the core
- ✓ The set has a lattice structure: there are worker-optimal and firm-optimal stable outcomes.

### Introducing Externalities: An Example

There are three firms and three workers. The following matchings are the only to generate positive profits, listed as (firm, worker):

Matching	Pair 1	Pair 2	Pair 3
$\mu_1 = (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)$	2	2	1
$\mu_2 = (1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)$	2	0	2
$\mu_3 = (1, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2)$	2	2	1

Is the following outcome stable?

$$(\mu_1, u, v) \text{ such that } u = (1, 1, 1) \text{ and } v = (1, 1, 0)$$

### When to Block?

Consider firm 2 and worker 3,  $u_2 + v_3 = 1$ . If they block, it leads to either  $\mu_2$  or  $\mu_3$ . In the former case they fall short, only creating a zero profit; in the latter, they benefit. Agents hence now need to take profits for *all* contingencies into account.

Based on their beliefs about other agents and their attitude towards risk, all pairs calculate thresholds  $d_{ij}$  and block outcomes whenever  $u_i + v_j < d_{ij}$ .

A pair is **reasonable** if

$$p_{ij} = \min_{\mu' \ni (i,j)} \alpha_{ij}^{\mu'} \leq d_{ij} \leq \max_{\mu' \ni (i,j)} \alpha_{ij}^{\mu'} = o_{ij}.$$

A pair is **pessimistic** if  $d_{ij} = p_{ij}$ : it forms a blocking pair only if it is sure to strictly benefit.

### The Assignment Game with Externalities

The monetary profits are matching-specific,

$$\alpha_{ij}^{\mu} \geq 0.$$

An outcome is **stable** if it is individually rational and there are no blocking pairs:

$$u_i \geq 0, \quad v_j \geq 0, \quad u_i + v_j \geq d_{ij}.$$

### Which Results Carry Over, and When?

If all pairs are pessimistic:

- ✓ There exists a stable outcome
- ✗ A stable outcome may not be optimal
- ✗ An optimal outcome may not be stable
- ✓ There exists a Pareto efficient stable outcome.

If not all pairs are pessimistic:

- ✗ There may not exist a stable outcome
- ✗ All stable outcomes may be Pareto inefficient.

### Ongoing and Future Research

Lattice structure for set of stable outcomes? What if agents use more complex blocking strategies? ...